THIS CONFERENCE IS LICENSED UNDER THE MOST RESTRICTIVE LICENSE IN THE WORLD: PFPL (Pulp Fiction Public License):

1) Because this work is licensed by a lawyer whose mother language is not English you receive this AS IS, THERE IS NO WARRANTY FOR THE QUALITY OF THE LANGUAGE FORM OF THIS TALK and the organization will not refund your ticket.

2) You're not allowed to do anything with this software. And if you just try, I warn you, I double dare you, I'll sue your arse off.

P.D. This is the same license used by Quentin Tarantino
● Software represents more than 1% GDP in OECD economies

● Free software is the main choice for scientific and public sector new developments.
● Software Initiative has listed 66 different licenses that fall under the Open Source Definition

● Most Open Source Software is Publisher under the GPL (nearly 70% per cent of catalogued projects)

● There are critical OS projects under other licenses (BSD, MPL, Apache Software License, Artistic License…)}
- Academic Free License 3.0 (AFL 3.0)
- Affero GNU Public License
- Adaptive Public License
- Apache License, 2.0
- Apple Public Source License
- Artistic license 2.0
- Attribution Assurance Licenses
- New and Simplified BSD licenses
- Boost Software License (BSL1.0)
- Computer Associates Trusted Open Source License 1.1
- Common Development and Distribution License
- Common Public Attribution License 1.0 (CPAL)
- Common Public License 1.0
- CUA Office Public License Version 1.0
- EU DataGrid Software License
- Eclipse Public License
- Educational Community License, Version 2.0
- Eiffel Forum License V2.0
- Entessa Public License
- European Union Public License (link to every language's version on their site)
- Fair License
- Frameworx License
- GNU General Public License (GPL)
- GNU General Public License version 3.0 (GPLv3)
- GNU Library or "Lesser" General Public License (LGPL)
- GNU Library or "Lesser" General Public License version 3.0 (LGPLv3)
- Historical Permission Notice and Disclaimer
- IBM Public License
- IPA Font License
- ISC License
- Lucent Public License Version 1.02
- MirOS Licence
- Microsoft Public License (Ms-PL)
- Microsoft Reciprocal License (Ms-RL)
- MIT license
- Motosoto License
- Mozilla Public License 1.1 (MPL)
- Multics License
- NASA Open Source Agreement 1.3
- NTP License
- Naumen Public License
- Nethack General Public License
- Nokia Open Source License
- Non-Profit Open Software License 3.0 (Non-Profit OSL 3.0)
- OCLC Research Public License 2.0
- Open Font License 1.1 (OFL 1.1)
- Open Group Test Suite License
- Open Software License 3.0 (OSL 3.0)
- PHP License
- Python license (CNRI Python License)
- Python Software Foundation License
- Qt Public License (QPL)
- RealNetworks Public Source License V1.0
- Reciprocal Public License 1.5 (RPL1.5)
- Ricoh Source Code Public License
- Simple Public License 2.0
- Sleepycat License
- Sun Public License
- Sybase Open Watcom Public License 1.0
- University of Illinois/NCSA Open Source License
- Vovida Software License v. 1.0
- W3C License
- wxWindows Library License
- X.Net License
- Zope Public License
- zlib/libpng license
For all these licenses you can….

Describe an ideological taxonomy

or

From legal terms …. Folkxonomy.
● Proposed a logical paradox of the language showing that comprehension is based on classification and that all classification of the universe is arbitrary and conjectural.

● Imagined some Chinese encyclopedia. In its remote pages it is written that animals are divided into:

(a) belonging to the Emperor  
(b) embalmed  
(c) trained  
(d) pigs  
(e) sirens  
(f) fabulous  
(g) stray dogs  
(h) included in this classification  
(i) who are agitated like mad  
(j) innumerable  
(k) drawn with a fine brush of camel hair  
(l) etcetera  
(m) just to break the vase  
(n) that appear to fly away

● Any legal classification of Open Source Licenses will show the same ambiguities, redundancies and deficiencies

****There is no naivety in these reflections*****
The main drawback of “copyleft” licenses is that they are not mutually compatible.

License compatibility is like blood type compatibility. (Van Lindberg)

Compatibility is a very IP fundamental issue: to be able to build your code to interact with it and interoperate with it

Imaging a book you have to write, and any word you need to use has one different owner. It happens in proprietary software but also in free software.
Mere Aggregation
Plugins – Dynamic Linking
Based on the principle of free will and freedom of the covenants and Article 17 of the Copyright Law that enables the author to exploit his work in any way and the 19 defining the right to distribute that includes the making the public the original or copies of the work by sale, rental or any other form, "copyleft clause is to be an original, and perfectly legitimate exercise of the right of distribution by the author (if the software was delivered on physical media) or communication (if provided in electronic format).

Law Munich District Court No. 1 in the case v. Netfilter Sitecom on May 19, 2004. The Court recognized a fully effective copyleft clause of the license and accept the condition implies that (clause 4 of the GPL)
International self-consistence of free software licenses

- Open Source Licenses impose restrictions and obligations tied to the existence of copyright, consumers laws, patents, moral rights and the content, standards, and applicability of these laws varies from country to country.
- It can create uncertainty for developers and users.
- Freedoms stand on laws more than in contracts. It means in international terms that we can adopt one license contract but we may have many different laws.
- A basic objective of a open source license is to achieve legal certainty and legal predictability.
- Only a few of Open Source Licenses provide both developers and users with a high degree of predictability and certainty of their respective rights and obligations.
Interoperability as a pre-requisite in Public Sector


- IDABC defines a generic instrument called “horizontal measures”, allowing horizontal paneuropean services of electronic administration infrastructure services or strategic and support activities.
Interoperability as a pre-requisite in Public Sector

- Some measures include the TESTA network and the Administrative Intranet and the Your Europe portal, offering cross-border services within EU, interoperability of electronic administration services, security measures, public procurement and Interactive Policy Making, Center of Resources of Communication and Information, promotion of free software and open formats and observatories such as IDABC of the Electronic Administration.

- No proposal of calendar yet on synchronizing the IDABC and other paneuropean E-Government projects.

- No clear strategy to coordinate local and state developments related to E-Government and community programs, such as IDABC
LPI confirms on Article 3 that the intellectual property rights are independent, compatible and accumulable with (...) 2º The intellectual property rights that might exist on the actual piece of work.
Winix: Windows Eight (or maybe Nine)

- Proprietary software, as a business model is falling apart (more evident in applications of massive use)
- Mobile Industry, not limited by any software monopoly is moving to Linux (Limo, Android, etc)
- Development and maintenance of proprietary software (i.e. Mobile operating systems) is unsustainable
- Today, no company can compete with the workforce available in the free software community (nor with the amount and quality of software)
- It is becoming more obvious that proprietary software sucks
Winix: Windows Eight (or maybe Nine)

- Windows Vista has been a commercial disaster, like other MS projects (soapbox)
- Microsoft is not looking good in the Stock Market
- Only resource for keeping Windows alive are obscure commercial tactics “a la Don Vito” (corruption, misinformation, legal threats, etc)
- Cost of developing and maintaining Windows to compete with free software will become (or already is) unsustainable
- GNU/Linux and OSX always increasing market share
- Google Chrome OS a major upcoming threat
- Loosing 20% of market share, now a conservative assumption
Winix: Windows Eight (or maybe Nine)

- Using GNU/Linux (or BSD) is the only long term choice for keeping Windows alive
- Mono is ready to offer .NET support on *NIX
- BSD is, without a doubt, a more permissive license for MS
- A major and free improvement over Windows as we know it
- Maintaining compatibility with previous versions (well, sort of, this never worked anyway for MS, did it?)
- An affordable way to maintain market share and existing (and future) software applications on top
- A half open half closed (initially, mostly closed) OSX style OS